

GT

GreenbergTraurig

1900 ATTORNEYS | 38 LOCATIONS WORLDWIDE

Greenberg Traurig's Real Estate Practice
named a Top Real Estate Law Firm - 2016 by
Commercial Property Executive.



NEIL OBERFELD



MARK BAKER



STEPHEN DIETRICH



PETER KELLEY



KEITH POCKROSS



NICK MCGRATH



KATY O'BRIEN



SARAH SEEDIG

GREENBERG TRAUIG, LLP | DENVER
1200 17TH STREET | SUITE 2400 | DENVER, CO 80202 | 303.572.6500

GREENBERG TRAUIG, LLP | ATTORNEYS AT LAW | WWW.GTLAW.COM

Greenberg Traurig is a service mark and trade name of Greenberg Traurig, LLP and Greenberg Traurig, P.A. ©2016
Greenberg Traurig, LLP. Attorneys at Law. All rights reserved. *These numbers are subject to fluctuation. 27492

Our national real estate practice
is focused on the evolving
needs of clients.

We advise on current positions,
opportunities, and complex
transactions in:

- Acquisition
- Development
- Financing
- Leasing

For more information, please call
Beverly Quail at 303.292.2400



Ballard Spahr
LLP

Atlanta | Baltimore | Bethesda | Denver | Las Vegas | Los Angeles | New Jersey | Philadelphia
Phoenix | Salt Lake City | San Diego | Washington, DC | Wilmington | www.ballardspahr.com

B|W

LAW

BERENBAUM WEINSHIENK PC

Real
Estate
Attorneys
with
Real
Experience

James L. Kurtz-Phelan - Real Estate Practice Chair

370 17th Street, Suite 4800 | Denver, Colorado 80202
303.825.0800 | bw-legal.com

Law & Accounting

Shakeups for public infrastructure
financing through metro districts

In Colorado much of the public infrastructure installed in connection with development projects is financed by metropolitan districts. Metropolitan districts are governmental entities, authorized by Colorado statute and controlled by an elected board of directors. They have taxing authority and can impose a mill levy on property within the boundaries of the district. Among other potential sources of revenue, this mill levy revenue can support the issuance of bonds to fund things like streets, curbs, gutters, drainage facilities and other public improvements.

Only human beings, not corporate entities, can be eligible "electors" for purposes of serving on metropolitan district boards and voting in district elections. Qualification as an elector requires that an individual have an interest in property within the district. Metropolitan districts are typically established at the very early stages of a development project, at a point at which the developer is often the only property owner. Accordingly, there are statutory processes that enable entity property owners to qualify individuals as eligible electors to serve as board members and vote in metropolitan district elections, such as TABOR elections, to authorize debt.

Until this year, one very common way for metropolitan district electors to be qualified was for the developer property owner to enter into

Bill E. Kyriagis
Attorney, Otten
Johnson Robinson
Neff + Ragonetti PC,
Denver

option agreements with individuals, granting the individuals the right to purchase an interest in land and obligating the option purchaser to pay taxes on the land pending closing. Literally hundreds, if not thousands, of electors had been qualified in this manner, and the qualified electors then proceeded to vote to authorize their districts to issue debt and served on metropolitan district boards, approving bonds, etc.

Billions of dollars of public infrastructure has been financed in Colorado through this kind of system. Generally speaking, this kind of arrangement benefits both developers and property purchasers. Instead of including all of the costs of public improvements in the price developers charge to buyers for land, the buyers can pay for the costs of the public infrastructure over time through a portion of their property tax bill. This helps keep upfront land costs lower.

However, Colorado's system for the financing of public improvements through metropolitan districts suffered quite a shock in April of this year as a result of the Colorado Court of Appeals' decision in Land-

mark Towers Association Inc., et al. v. UMB Bank, N.A., et al. Landmark Towers involved a challenge to the validity of certain metropolitan district debt, where the taxpayers were seeking to eliminate their obligation to pay district taxes that were pledged to pay bonds. In the face of the challenge, the Court of Appeals held that the option contracts qualifying eligible electors for the district were a "sham," highlighting several factors that purportedly supported this conclusion: 1) the individual parcels at issue were small, representing only an undivided one-twentieth interest in a 100-square-foot parcel, and too small to allow any beneficial use of the property; 2) the obligation to pay the property taxes was "illusory" since there was no right for the option seller to seek specific performance or damages for failure to pay the tax; 3) there was testimony that the individuals who had been qualified as electors had agreed among themselves that none of them would have to pay taxes on the parcels subject to the option contracts; 4) none of the individuals actually paid the down payment required by the option contracts; 5) none of the individuals, in fact, paid any property taxes; 6) none of the individuals exercised their options to purchase; and 7) none of the option contracts was ever recorded in the public records. Based on the "sham" contracts, the Court of Appeals invalidated the qualifications of the electors, thereby invalidating their TABOR votes to authorize the district's debt and requiring a refunding of the taxes.

The facts concerning the qualification of electors are not the same for all metropolitan districts. Additionally, one could take issue with a number of aspects of the Court of Appeals' reasoning as it relates to the actual text of the statutes at issue. Indeed, a petition for certiorari to the Colorado Supreme Court is now pending, seeking to overturn the lower court ruling. Regardless, the impact of Landmark

Please see Next Page

Colorado's system for the financing
of public improvements through
metropolitan districts suffered
quite a shock in April of this year
as a result of the Colorado Court
of Appeals' decision in Landmark
Towers Association Inc., et al. v.
UMB Bank, N.A., et al.



McGEADY
BECHER

Special District Law

McGeady Becher is the leading legal advisor for special district projects.
RELIABILITY. EFFICIENCY. CREATIVITY. DELIVERY.

450 E. 17th Avenue, Suite 400
Denver, CO 80203

303-592-4380
www.SpecialDistrictLaw.com

Law & Accounting

Continued from Previous Page

Towers was swift and widespread. The decision immediately called into question the validity of billions of dollars of debt issued by metropolitan districts with electors qualified through option contracts. It also halted the closings of hundreds of millions of dollars in loan and bond funding scheduled for the weeks following the ruling.

If left unresolved, this could have had an extremely negative effect on development projects throughout the state. A solution was needed immediately, and given the lengthy process for an appeal to the Supreme Court, a legislative fix was the only option. There were only weeks remaining in the legislative session, but a coalition of interests quickly coalesced behind Senate Bill 211. The bill was introduced May 2, passed the Legislature May 10, and was signed into law by the governor May 12.

SB 211 attempts to address the problems created in Landmark Towers, but does so on a backward-looking basis. That is, it prohibits challenges to special district elections on the grounds that a person who voted was not an eligible elector unless the challenge was initiated prior to the April 21, 2016, date of the Landmark Towers decision. It also validated the qualifications of all electors who voted in such elections and all actions taken by board members. There are certain carve-outs for constitutional challenges. The bill did not, however, address the statutory interpretation that Landmark Towers created with respect to the qualification of new eligible electors.

While SB 211 is new and untested, its passage restored a measure of certainty to the metropolitan district public finance market in Colorado, and lenders and bond issuers began providing funds to previously existing metropolitan

districts again after its passage. However, because SB 211 does not address the process for qualifying new electors, Landmark Towers problems persist for new districts or districts seeking to hold new TABOR elections. Thus, following advice of their legal counsel, many metropolitan districts are changing the ways that they qualify electors, attempting to be sure that they comply with both the letter of the statutes concerning qualifications and also the traps enumerated in Landmark Towers.

For now, the immediate crisis has been averted. However, the public financing component of a development project can be critical to its success. Accordingly, it will be important to watch this process play out over the next year or two as the Supreme Court takes the case. There is also the possibility of additional legislative changes to address the qualification of electors on a going-forward basis.▲

Multifamily

Continued from Page 6

Union Station.

Other News

■ An unidentified buyer paid \$3.92 million, or \$217,778 per unit, for a 17-unit apartment building at 2633 W. 25th Ave. in Denver.

The building was built in 1961.

In 2012, the property was renovated. Improvements included a new roof, metal stairs, walkways and concrete courtyards.

Joe Hornstein with the Hornstein|Fetter Apartment Group and **Robert Lawson** with the Knowlton|Lawson Team at **Pinnacle Real Estate Advisors**, represented both the seller and the buyer in this transaction.

"This was a true turnkey, stabilized asset that will be a great long-term hold for the buyer as the Jefferson Park neighborhood continues to evolve," Hornstein said.

"The sellers were able to take advantage of incredible investor demand to achieve a very aggressive price and complete a tax deferred 1031 exchange," he added.▲

With decades of experience, the real estate attorneys at Davis Graham & Stubbs LLP assist clients with the acquisition, financing, development, leasing, and disposition of all types of real property.

DAVIS
GRAHAM &
STUBBS

DGSlaw.com



Adding value to the commercial real estate industry for over 25 years.

Tax Planning & Structuring
Financial Statement Audits & Reviews
Estate & Gift Tax Planning
Tax Return Preparation
Litigation Support

**RICHEY
MAY & CO**

303-721-6131
richeymay.com

SVC

SENN VISCIANO CANGES P.C.

OUR REAL ESTATE GROUP

Serving the Commercial Real Estate Community

TRANSACTIONAL

Mark A. Senn
David C. Camp
Lawrence J. Donovan, Jr.
Julia W. Koren
Jonathan G. Nash
Pamela O. Colquette
Barry Permut
Matthew D. Pluss
Wynn E. Strahle

Michel P. Williams
Terri Winter

CONSTRUCTION

Mark D. Gruskin

LITIGATION

Frank W. Visciano
Erich L. Bethke
James S. Bailey

- ◉ LEASING
- ◉ REAL ESTATE DEVELOPMENT
- ◉ REAL ESTATE SALES AND ACQUISITION
- ◉ COMMERCIAL LENDING, WORKOUTS AND FORECLOSURE
- ◉ REAL ESTATE AND COMMERCIAL LITIGATION
- ◉ CONSTRUCTION CONTRACTS AND LITIGATION

1700 Lincoln Street, Suite 4500 | Denver, CO 80203 | PH 303-298-1122 | FX 303-296-9101
www.SennLaw.com



Editor's Note:

If you would like to contribute an expert article to the Law & Accounting section, please contact me at jhayes@crej.com, or 303-623-1148, Ext. 106.

The Colorado Real Estate Journal always is looking for informative articles, approximately 900 words in length, related to any aspect of the commercial real estate industry.

I would be happy to discuss your topic ideas, issue dates, material deadlines, photos and reprints and answer any questions you may have. Authors of expert articles also may be eligible for continuing education credit.

Jennifer Hayes, Editor

We Make It Happen.



www.bfwlaw.com

Expertise, industry knowledge, and client service are the cornerstones of the firm's real estate practice group.



Matt Dillman
mdillman@bfwlaw.com



Abe Laydon
alaydon@bfwlaw.com



Merc Pittinos
mpittinos@bfwlaw.com

Tel 303 796 2626

6400 S. Fiddlers Green Circle, Suite 1000
Greenwood Village, CO 80111